

EAST - [ah.wsp:1]

File View Edit Tools Window Help

Drafts

- BRS:
- ISNR:
- BRS:
- BRS:
- Pending
- Active
 - L1: (0) optimal adj safety adj stock
 - L2: (69) safety adj stock
 - L3: (49) 2 and (best or optimS)
- Failed
- Saved
- Favorites
- Tagged (2)
- UDC
- Queue
- Trash

SEARCH DBs: USPAT

Default operator: OR

☐ Plurals ☒ Highlight all hit terms initially

BRS form ISAR form Image Text HTML

	U	1	Document ID	Issue Date	Pages	Title	Current OR	Current XRef	Retrieval
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	US 6415266 B1	20020702	15	Dynamic instruction system for input of parts in	705/28	700/115; 700/214	
2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	US 6144945 A	20001107	14	Method for fast and accurate evaluation of periodic	705/28	705/8	

Hit Details HTML

Ready NUM

EAST - [ah.wsp:1]

File View Edit Tools Window Help

Drafts
 BRS:
 ISNR:
 BRS:
 BRS:
 Pending
 Active
L1: (194) cost adj store
L2: (4625) lead adj time
L3: (6) 2 and 1
L4: (2) 6216109.URPN.
L5: (9) ("4495559" | "4864507" | "4874963" | "493194
L6: (11) 4 or 5
L7: (1) 6 and price
L8: (16) inventory adj decision
L9: (624) purchase adj decision
L10: (107) 9 and 2
L11: (107) 10 and price
L12: (2182) (storage or holding) adj cost
L13: (0) 12 and 11
L14: (105) 11 and cost
L15: (102) 14 and inventory
 Failed
 Saved
 Favorites
 Tagged (4)
 UDC
 Queue
 Trash

Search

DBs: USPAT ☐ Plurals

Default operator: OR ☒ Highlight all hit terms initially

BRS form S&P form Image Text HTML

	U	1	Document ID	Issue Date	Pages	Title	Current OR	Current XRef	Retrieval
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	US 6216109 B1	20010410	24	Iterative repair optimization with particular	705/8		
2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	US 5303328 A	19940412	32	Neural network system for determining optimal solution	706/25	706/19	
3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	US 20010042023 A1	20011115	7	Product fulfillment system	705/26		
4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	US 20020049622 A1	20020425	24	Vertical systems and methods for providing shipping and	705/7		

Hits Details HTML

Ready NUM

forth the authorities and arguments on which appellant will rely to maintain the appeal. Any arguments or authorities not included in the brief will be refused consideration by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, unless good cause is shown.

(b) On failure to file the brief, accompanied by the requisite fee, within the time allowed, the appeal shall stand dismissed.

(c) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated below unless the brief is filed by an applicant who is not represented by a registered practitioner:

(1) *Real party in interest.* A statement identifying the real party in interest, if the party named in the caption of the brief is not the real party in interest.

(2) *Related appeals and interferences.* A statement identifying by number and filing date all other appeals or interferences known to appellant, the appellant's legal representative, or assignee which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(3) *Status of claims.* A statement of the status of all the claims, pending or cancelled, and identifying the claims appealed.

(4) *Status of amendments.* A statement of the status of any amendment filed subsequent to final rejection.

(5) *Summary of invention.* A concise explanation of the invention defined in the claims involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters.

(6) *Issues.* A concise statement of the issues presented for review.

(7) *Grouping of claims.* For each ground of rejection which appellant contests and which applies to a group of two or more claims, the Board shall select a single claim from the group and shall decide the appeal as to the ground of rejection on the basis of that claim alone unless a statement is included that the claims of the group do not stand or fall together and, in the argument under paragraph (c)(8) of this section, appellant explains why the claims of the group are believed to be separately patentable. Merely pointing out differences in what the claims cover is not an argument as to why the claims are separately patentable.

(8) *Argument.* The contentions of appellant with respect to each of the issues presented for review in paragraph (c)(6) of this section, and the basis therefor, with citations of the authorities, statutes, and parts of the record relied on. Each issue should be treated under a separate heading.

(i) For each rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the argument shall specify the errors in the rejection and how the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 is complied with, including, as appropriate, how the specification and drawings, if any,

(A) Describe the subject matter defined by each of the rejected claims,

(B) Enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the subject matter defined by each of the rejected claims, and

(C) Set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his or her invention.

(ii) For each rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, the argument shall specify the errors in the rejection and how the claims particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

(iii) For each rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102, the argument shall specify the errors in the rejection and why the rejected claims are patentable under 35 U.S.C. 102, including any specific limitations in the rejected claims which are not described in the prior art relied upon in the rejection.

(iv) For each rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103, the argument shall specify the errors in the rejection and, if appropriate, the specific limitations in the rejected claims which are not described in the prior art relied on in the rejection, and shall explain how such limitations render the claimed subject matter unobvious over the prior art. If the rejection is based upon a combination of references, the argument shall explain why the references, taken as a whole, do not suggest the claimed subject matter, and shall include, as may be appropriate, an explanation of why features disclosed in one reference may not properly be combined with features disclosed in another reference. A general argument that all the limitations are not described in a single reference does not satisfy the requirements of this paragraph.

(v) For any rejection other than those referred to in paragraphs (c)(8)(i) to (iv) of this section, the argument shall specify the errors in the rejection and the specific limitations in the rejected claims, if appropriate, or other reasons, which cause the rejection to be in error.

(9) *Appendix.* An appendix containing a copy of the claims involved in the appeal.

(d) If a brief is filed which does not comply with all the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section, appellant will be notified of the reasons for non-compliance and provided with a period of one month within which to file an amended brief. If appellant does not file an amended brief during the one-month period, or files an amended brief which does not overcome all the reasons for non-compliance stated in the notification, the appeal will stand dismissed.

Where the brief is not filed, but within the period allowed for filing the brief an amendment is presented which places the application in condition for allowance, the